

Published by Nigerian Society of Physical Sciences. Hosted by FLAYOO Publishing House LTD



Proceedings of the Nigerian Society of Physical Sciences

Journal Homepage: <https://flayooophl.com/journals/index.php/pnspsc>



Characterization of quasi idempotent in semigroups of full contraction mappings

A. D. Adeshola^{a,*}, S. O Akande^a, G. R. Ibrahim^a, J. O. Lawal^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics and Statistics, Kwara State University, Malete, P.M.B. 1530, Ilorin, Nigeria

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Kwara State College of Education, Ilorin, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Let \mathcal{T}_n be the semigroup of full transformation of a finite set and let $X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A transformation $\alpha : \text{Dom } \alpha \subset X_n \rightarrow \text{Im}(\alpha) \subset X_n$ is said to be full or total transformation if $\text{Dom } \alpha = X_n$. A transformation $\beta \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ is said to be full contraction mapping if $\forall x, y \in \text{Dom } \alpha, |x\alpha - y\alpha| \leq |x - y| \forall x, y \in \text{Dom } \alpha$. Let \mathcal{CT}_n be the semigroup of full contraction transformation and let \mathcal{QCT}_n be its quasi-idempotent of full contraction transformation of X_n . In this paper, we characterized quasi-idempotent elements into matching blocks, non-matching blocks and self matching blocks of \mathcal{CT}_n and later come up with a strong and useful theorem.

Keywords: Semigroup, Contraction mapping, Quasi-idempotent.

DOI:10.61298/pnspsc.2024.1.88

© 2024 The Author(s). Production and Hosting by FLAYOO Publishing House LTD on Behalf of the Nigerian Society of Physical Sciences (NSPS). Peer review under the responsibility of NSPS. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A (partial) transformation $\alpha : \text{Dom } \alpha \subseteq X_n \rightarrow \text{Im } \alpha \subseteq X_n$ is said to be full or total if $\text{Dom } \alpha = X_n$; otherwise it is called strictly partial. The fix of α is denoted and defined by $F(\alpha)$, where

$$|F(\alpha)| = |\{x \in \text{Dom } \alpha : x\alpha = x\}|. \quad (1)$$

Let $X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be a finite set and let \mathcal{T}_n and \mathcal{CT}_n be a full transformation semigroup and a semigroup of full contraction mapping on X_n respectively. A transformation $\alpha : \text{Dom } \alpha \subset X_n \rightarrow \text{Im}(\alpha) \subset X_n$ is said to be full or total transformation if $\text{Dom } \alpha = X_n$. The set of full transformation of X_n , denoted by \mathcal{T}_n more commonly known as the full transformation semigroup is also known as the full symmetric semigroup with binary composition of mapping as the semigroup operator defined on it.

Adeshola and Umar [1] defined a transformation $\alpha \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ to be full contraction mapping if $\forall x, y \in \text{Dom } \alpha$,

$$|x\alpha - y\alpha| \leq |x - y| \forall x, y \in \text{Dom } \alpha. \quad (2)$$

The theory of finite semigroups has been of importance in the theoretical computer science since the 1950s because of the link between finite semigroup and finite automata via the syntactic monoid. Another certain importance and interesting concept such as the concept of idempotent rank, nilpotent rank, etc, developed largely independently. Some years back, Garba *et al.* [2–4] worked on products of idempotent and quasi-idempotent of transformation semigroup. In the past few years, Adeshola and Umar [1] worked on combinatorial results for certain semigroup of full contraction mapping of a finite chain which is another interesting aspect of semigroup where much research have not been carried-out. See Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequence [7].

An element α of semigroup S is an idempotent if $\alpha = \alpha^2$. Clearly, a full transformation semigroup α is idempotent if and

*Corresponding Author Tel. No.: +234-803-219-7599.
e-mail: adeshola.dauda@kwasu.edu.ng (A. D. Adeshola)

only if

$$F(\alpha) = \text{Im}(\alpha), \quad (3)$$

where $F(\alpha)$ is the set of all fixed point of the transformation and $\text{Im}(\alpha)$ is the image set of the transformation.

The fix of a transformation β is defined and denoted by

$$F(\alpha) = |F(\beta)| = x \in \text{Dom}(\beta) : x\beta. \quad (4)$$

Let X_n be the finite set $\{1, 2 \dots n\}$ and \mathcal{T}_n be the full transformation semigroup on X_n . Then a transformation $\beta \in \mathcal{T}_n$ will be called quasi idempotent if β is not an idempotent but β^2 is an idempotent. That is, $\beta \neq \beta^2 = \beta^4$, where

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Note that β is not an idempotent, but

$$\beta^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly,

$$\beta^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, it shows that $\beta^2 = \beta^4$.

In this section, we introduce basic terminologies and definition of terms. In Section 2 we adopt the methodology involved in obtaining the results as well as classification through characterization, the matching blocks, non-matching blocks and self-matching blocks. In Section 3 we present, analyze and discuss the results, and finally, Section 4 deals with the Conclusion.

Let β be a map in \mathcal{CT}_n . We define a matching pair of β to be a pair (A_i, A_j) of blocks of β for which $A_i\beta \in A_j$ and $A_j\beta \in A_i$. The blocks A_i and A_j will be referred to as matching blocks of β . If $i = j$, then we will say that (A_i, A_j) is a self matching pair and A_i is a stationary block of β . For standard concepts in semigroup and transformation semigroup theory, see for example Ref. [5].

2. METHODOLOGY AND CHARACTERIZATION OF QUASI-IDEMPOTENT SEMIGROUP OF FULL CONTRACTION MAPPINGS: \mathcal{QCT}_N

The method of research adopted in this article is by reviewing necessary and relevant papers on the theory of algebraic properties of semigroup of full transformation contraction mappings, sub-semigroups generated by quasi-idempotents in certain finite semigroups of mappings, please see Garba *et al.* [2–4]. The work done is based on characterization of quasi-idempotents in $\alpha \in \mathcal{CT}_n$. In this section, we present a set of theoretic characterization of quasi-idempotent in $\alpha \in \mathcal{CT}_n$, as matching blocks, non-matching blocks and self matching blocks. This will enable us to identify them among other elements of $\alpha \in \mathcal{CT}_n$.

Matching Block

As defined earlier, let β be a map in \mathcal{CT}_n . A matching pair of β to be a pair (A_i, A_j) of blocks of β for which $A_i\beta \in A_j$ and $A_j\beta \in A_i$. The blocks A_i and A_j will be referred to as matching blocks of β . For example, let

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \{1, 5\} & \{2, 3, 4\} \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

We can observe that $A_i\beta \in A_j$ and $A_j\beta \in A_i$. Therefore; the map β is a matching block.

Non-matching Blocks

As defined earlier, let β be a map in \mathcal{CT}_n . We define a non-matching pair of β to be a pair (A_i, A_j) of blocks of β for which $A_i\beta \notin A_j$ but $A_j\beta \in A_i$ or $A_i\beta \in A_j$ but $A_j\beta \notin A_i$. The blocks A_i and A_j will be referred to as non-matching blocks of β . For example, consider

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \{1, 2\} & \{3, 4, 5\} \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

we observe that $A_i\beta \in A_j$ but $A_j\beta \notin A_i$. Also consider

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \{4, 5\} & \{2, 3\} \\ 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

we observe that $A_i\beta \notin A_j$ but $A_j\beta \in A_i$.

Self Matching Blocks

As defined earlier, if

$$i = j, \quad (9)$$

then we will say that (A_i, A_j) is a self matching block and A_i is a stationary block of β .

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \{5, 6\} \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

It follows that

$$A_i = A_j. \quad (11)$$

Therefore, β is a self matching block.

\Rightarrow ; A_i is a stationary block.

3. PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

In this section, we present the theoretic characterization of quasi-idempotent in \mathcal{CT}_n , this will enable us to identify them among other elements of \mathcal{CT}_n . To begin with, we have the following definitions.

Let β be a map in \mathcal{CT}_n . We define a matching pair of β to be a pair (A_i, A_j) of blocks of β for which $A_i\beta \in A_j$ and $A_j\beta \in A_i$. The blocks A_i and A_j will be referred to as matching blocks of β . If $i = j$, then we will say that (A_i, A_j) is a self matching pair and A_i is a stationary block of β .

Lemma 3.1. *If A_i is a matching block of $\beta \in \mathcal{CT}_n$, then A_i is a stationary block of β^2 .*

Proof. Suppose (A_i, A_j) is a matching pair of blocks of β . Then $A_i\beta^2 = (A_i\beta) \in A_j\beta \in A_i$ showing that A_i is a stationary block of β^2 .

Example 1:

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \{1, 3\} & \{2, 4\} \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\beta^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \{1, 3\} & \{2, 4\} \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 2:

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \{1, 5\} & \{2, 3, 4\} \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\beta^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \{1, 5\} & \{2, 3, 4\} \\ 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *if $\beta \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ consists only of matching blocks(not all stationary), then β is a quasi-idempotent.*

Proof. Suppose $\beta \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ is a map containing only matching pair of blocks and let A_i be an arbitrary block of β ; A_i is a matching block of β and by Lemma 3.1, A_i is a stationary block of β^2 . Thus β^2 is an idempotent.

Example 3:

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \{1, 2\} & \{3, 4\} \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\beta^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \{1, 2\} & \{3, 4\} \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\beta^4 = \begin{pmatrix} \{1, 2\} & \{3, 4\} \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta^2 = \beta^4$$

Example 4:

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \{1, 4, 5\} & \{2, 3\} \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\beta^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \{1, 4, 5\} & \{2, 3\} \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\beta^4 = \begin{pmatrix} \{1, 4, 5\} & \{2, 3\} \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta^2 = \beta^4.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Every quasi-idempotent $\beta \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ must contain at least one matching pair of blocks (either self-matching or non-stationary).*

Proof. Suppose $\beta \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ is a map containing no matching pair of blocks and let A_i be any of its blocks. Then there exists a block $A_j(j \neq i)$ for β for which $A_i\beta \in A_j$ and $A_j\beta \neq A_i$. Therefore, $A_i\beta^2 = (A_i\beta)\beta \in A_j\beta \notin A_i$ implying that A_i is not fixed by β^2 and so β^2 is not an idempotent, contradicting the choice of β as a quasi-idempotent. Hence β must contain at least one matching pair of blocks.

Example 5:

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \{1\} & \{2, 3, 4\} \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

Example 6:

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \{1\} & \{2\} & \{3\} & \{4\} \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix},$$

then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\beta \in \mathcal{CT}_n$, then β is a quasi-idempotent if and only if the image of each non-matching block of β is contained in a matching block of β (or stationary).*

Proof. If $\beta \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ contains no non-matching blocks, then the argument is trivial by Lemma 3.2. Now suppose $\beta \in \mathcal{CT}_n$ is a map consisting of both matching and non-matching blocks in which every non-matching block is mapped into a matching block, we shall show that β is a quasi-idempotent.

Let

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \cdots & A_r & A_{r+1} & A_{r+2} & \cdots & A_{r+s} & B_1 & \cdots & B_t \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_r & a_{r+2} & a_{r+1} & \cdots & a_{r+s-1} & b_1 & \cdots & b_t \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_1 \cdots A_r$ are stationary blocks of β , $A_{r+1} \cdots A_{r+s}$ are matching blocks of β and $B_1 \cdots B_t$ are non matching blocks of β . Also $a_i \in A_i(1 \leq i \leq r + s)$ and each $b_j(1 \leq j \leq t)$ belongs to one of A_i 's. Observe that $A_i\beta^2 = a_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, r + s$ and if $b_j \in A_i$, then $(A_i \cup B_j)\beta^2 = a_i$. Therefore β can be expressed as

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & \cdots & C_u & A_{u+1} & \cdots & A_r & C_{r+1} & \cdots & C_{r+v} & A_{r+v+1} & \cdots & A_{r+s} \\ a_1 & \cdots & a_u & a_{u+1} & \cdots & a_r & a_{r+1} & \cdots & a_{r+v} & a_{r+v+1} & \cdots & a_{r+s} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{13}$$

with the assumption that A_1, \dots, A_u and A_{r+1}, \dots, A_{r+v} are respectively the stationary and matching blocks of β containing b_j 's ($j = 1, \dots, t$) and for each $i = 1, \dots, u, r + 1, \dots, C_1 = A_i \cup (U_j B_j)$. The second union runs over each j for which $b_j \in A_i$. Then it is clear that β^2 is an idempotent and β is a quasi-idempotent.

Conversely, suppose β is a quasi-idempotent. Then by Lemma 3.3 β must contain at least one matching pair of blocks. If all its blocks are matching, there is nothing to prove. Therefore let β contains $A_i(1 \leq i \leq s)$ and $B_j(1 \leq j \leq t)$ matching and non-matching blocks respectively. Let us further suppose, by way of contradiction, that for some j , $B_j\beta \in A_i$ for all i . Then either $B_j\beta^2 \in A_i$ for some i or $B_j\beta^2 \notin A_i$ for all i . In the former, since $B_j \notin A_i$, B_j is not fixed by β^2 . In the latter, $B_j\beta^2 \notin B_k$ for some $k = j$ and again B_j is not fixed by β^2 . Thus, in both cases β^2 is not an idempotent contradicting the choice of β as a quasi-idempotent.

3.1. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

As our study reads, characterization of quasi idempotent of semi-group of full contraction mappings, is a new class of semigroup where much work has not been done. We classified elements under quasi idempotent of semigroup of full contraction mappings into matching blocks, non-matching blocks and self matching blocks. Lemma 3.1 solves problem on matching blocks, Lemma 3.2 explains only matching blocks not all stationary while Lemma 3.3 provides solutions on at least one matching pair of blocks (either self-matching or non-stationary). On this note, it is important to note that in the course of study, we came across a feature where the image of each non-matching block of β is contained in a matching block of β (or stationary). This is exactly the consequence of equations (12) and (13) of Theorem 3.4.

4. CONCLUSION

Sequel to the results obtained, we can conclude that the contraction mappings have shown to be an important area of study in the theory of transformation semigroups and this research has shown

how to characterize a quasi-idempotent elements in semigroup of full contraction mappings. Also it is an interesting sector of transformation semigroups and much research work can still be done. As earlier mentioned, semigroups of full contraction mappings is a new class of transformation semigroups and much research work has not been done. In this work we have only studied the quasi idempotent of contraction while other sub-semigroups can still be studied (where applicable). In conclusion, contraction mappings have appeared to be promising area to study in the theory of transformation semigroups.

References

- [1] A. D. Adeshola & A. Umar, "Combinatorial results for certain semigroups of order preserving full contraction mappings of a finite chain", *J combin. Math. Combin. comput* **106** (2018) 37. <https://squ.elsevierpure.com/en/publications/combinatorial-results-for-certain-semigroups-of-order-preserving->.
- [2] A. T. Imam, S. Ibrahim, G. U. Garba, L. Usman & A. Idris, "Quasi-idempotents in finite semigroup of full order-preserving transformations", *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics* **35** (2023) 62. <https://doi.org/10.12958/adm1846>.
- [3] G. U. Garba, A. T. Imam & B. A. Madu, "Products of quasi-idempotentm in finite symmetric inverse semi-groups", *Semi-group forum* **92** (2016) 645. https://www.academia.edu/80610112/Products_of_quasi_idempotents_in_finite_symmetric_inverse_semigroups.
- [4] G. U. Garba, A. T. Imam & B. A. Madu, "On Certain Semi-groups of full contraction maps of a finite chain", *Turkish J. Math.* **41** (2017) 500. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1602-52>.
- [5] J. M. Howie *Fundamentals of semigroup theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995. <https://global.oup.com/academic/product/fundamentals-of-semigroup-theory-9780198511946?cc=us&lang=en&>.
- [6] G. R. Ibrahim, A. T. Imam, A. D. Adeshola & G. N. Bakare, "Some Algebraic Properties of Order-Preserving Full Contraction Transformation Semigroup", *Journal of Semigroup Theory* **2019** (2019) 2. <https://scik.org/index.php/jsta/article/view/3931>.
- [7] N. J. A. Sloane (Ed.), *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, 2011. Available at <https://oeis.org/>.